## RECEIVED

Oregon

MAY 13 1003

FCC MAIL ROOM

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT

RECEIVED

OREGON EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

Ms. Donna R. Searcy, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W. - Room 222

Re.

May 10, 1993

PR Docket No. 92-235

Dear Ms. Searcy:

Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

MAY 1 3 1993

The enclosed letters regarding Docket 92-235 were directed to our agency with no mention or reference that the FCC had also received a copy.

We wanted to be sure that you were made aware of the concerns expressed by these agencies.

Sincerely,

Daniel O Vana el M

## **DEPARTMENT OF POLICE**

CITY OF CORNELIUS 85 n. 26TH P.O. BOX 607 CORNELIUS, OREGON 97113 RECEIVED

MAY 1 3 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
(503)640-1188-CRETARY

April 9, 1993

APR 1 5 1993

Oregon Emergency Management Communications/9-1-1- Program 595 Cottage St., N.E. Salem, Oregon 97310

Oregon Emergency Management

Attn: Comments on Docket 92-235 ا مرا<u>ن به مرمح المرموم فرمسسوم م</u> في الم<u>راسي</u> و المرازع و مرور و مرور



## CITY of BEAVERTON

4755 S.W. Griffith Drive, P.O. Box 4755, Beaverton, OR 97076 General Information (503) 526-2222 V/TDD

Oregon Emergency Management/Communications 595 Cottage St., N.E. Salem, OR 97310

RECEIVED

APR 1 4 1993

REGENVED

Oregon Emergency Management

MAY 1 3 1993

Dear Sir or Madam:

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

As a provider of police services in Beaverton, Washington County, Oregon, we want to inform you that our agency would be adversely affected by the migration plan, as proposed in PR Docket 92-235. We feel this is an unrealistic plan, and it raises serious concerns about officer safety due to decreased radio coverage.

The proposal would require additional hilltop radio sites in order to assure adequate coverage in our jurisdiction, something which is economically unfeasible. We must consider safety issues regarding our officers, who rely on radio communication every day in potentially life-threatening situations. The 92-235 plan would also require a change of all existing mobiles and portables to a parrow-band system, which is also economically unfeasible.



April 8, 1993

## Director - Grant County Sheriff, Fred Reusser Coordinator - Emergency Management Program, David Cary

Courthouse P.O. Box 40 Canyon City, OR 97820 (503) 575-2847

RECEIVED

APR 1 5 1993

Oregon Emergency Managemetic EIVED

Oregon Emergency Management 595 Cottage Street NE Salem, OR 97310 MAY 1 3 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RE: Comments on Docket 92-235

This is to express a concern about the impact FCC Docket 92-235 would have on the radio users in our county. As the Docket is now stated, it would have serious and costly effects on our rural county emergency and day-to-day radio systems. This is based on our evaluation of it's impact here in Grant County in the State of Oregon.

1. Being a rural, mountainous county of 4,532 sq. miles, we find

- 6. Grant County, with a population of only 7,853 to serve, combined with the decline in it's chief industry, timber, makes for severe budget constraints. These constraints make it unrealistic for our county to purchase the needed equipment.
- 7. The budget problems we now face make it impossible to even plan the purchase of new equipment that has not even been developed or priced yet.
- 8. The loss of power alone would make our present system useless and make the purchase of additional repeater sites necessary to maintain our present level of service.

We request that you delay implementation of this docket indefinitely and work with the Associated Public Safety Communications Officers Inc. (APCO) to develop a plan that is more feasible to the small counties and cities that rely on the already established system. We agree with Dave Yandell of Oregon Emergency Management who suggested that exemptions from these regulations be granted in states that have no problems with crowding or assigning frequencies. If this is not possible, then we should ask for a delay in the implementation date until technology is available to meet the proposed regulations.

Finally, whatever the outcome of this proposal, the implemented plan must have a reasonable time frame for implementation so as not to create a negative impact on local police, fire, ambulance, and road department radio coverage.

GRANT COUNTY JUDGE

GRANT COUNTY SHERIFF

GRANT COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT SUPERVISOR

GRANT COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT COORINATOR